## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

THOMAS D. GILLIS	
	Plaintiff(s)
V.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 03-12389-GAO
SPX CORPORATION II COMMITTEE	NDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT PLAN and SPX RETIREMENT ADMINISTRATIVE  Defendant(s)
	JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
O'TOOLE , D.J.	
•	This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried rendered its verdict.
•	e Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have ard and a decision has been rendered.
IT IS ORDERE	D AND ADJUDGED
Pursuant to the court's Memorandum and Order, dated March 31, 2007, the SPX Retirement Plan does not violate ERISA's anti-cutback, merger or age discrimination provisions, and the manner in which the defendants administered the plan did not violate any fiduciary duty they might have owed to Gillis. For these reasons, the defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED and Gillis' Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED. Finally, having considered the factors set forth in Cottrill v Sparrow, Johnson & Ursillo, Inc., 100 F.3d 220,225 (1st Cir. 1996), I conclude that neither Gillis nor the defendants are entitled to the award of attorneys fees.	
	SARAH A. THORNTON, CLERK OF COURT
Dated: March 31, 2007	By Paul S. Lyness Deputy Clerk

(